The corporate governance of Kuraray Co., Ltd. (hereinafter “Kuraray” or the “Company”) is described below.

I

Basic Views on Corporate Governance, Capital Structure, Corporate Profile and Other Basic Information

1. Basic Views

The Company believes that the maintenance of appropriate relationships with various stakeholders and the fulfillment of social responsibilities through establishing a corporate governance system that ensures effective and fair management would contribute to the long term and sustainable enhancement of corporate value. The Company has adopted the governance system as “a company with the board of corporate auditors.” Under this framework, the Company has established corporate governance mechanism centered on its Board of Directors and Board of Corporate Auditors to improve the effectiveness of supervisory and monitoring mechanism while maintaining management efficiency and to handle issues including management remuneration, selection of new company officers, internal control and risk management.

Through the above establishment of mechanism, the Company believes that the effectiveness of supervisory and monitoring mechanism would be improved while maintaining management efficiency, contributing to the long term and sustainable enhancement of corporate value.

Reasons for Non-compliance with the Principles of the Corporate Governance Code

The Company complies with all the principles of the Corporate Governance Code.

Disclosure Based on the Principles of the Corporate Governance Code

[Principle 1-4: Cross-Shareholdings]

The Company has set forth the policy on cross-shareholdings and standards for exercising voting rights pertaining to cross-held shares as follows.

1. Coming from the viewpoint of stable and long term business operation, the Company may hold the shares of its business partners, etc. if maintaining and strengthening the relationships with such business partners are deemed to contribute to corporate value enhancement.
2. Regarding the shares held pursuant to the preceding paragraph (hereinafter, “cross-held shares”), the Company regularly examines economic rationality and significance of holding individual stock at the Board of Directors meetings in consideration of benefits and risks associated with such holding, capital cost and other factors. The Company will sell shares of stocks, as necessary, whose holding was deemed not to be appropriate based on the examination to reduce such stocks.

3. Concerning the voting rights pertaining to the cross-held shares, the Company appropriately exercises such voting rights in light of the objectives of shareholdings set forth in the preceding two paragraphs, taking into consideration the business conditions of the companies and potential impact to the business operation of the Company or a subsidiary of the Company (hereinafter “the Group”). Particularly, the Company carefully exercises such voting rights in a case where performance of the companies has been sluggish for a long period of time or a serious scandal has occurred or in a case where a proposal that would impair shareholders’ value was made.

<Content of Examination on the Propriety of Holding Cross-Held Shares>
In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, the Company sold all shares of 8 stocks and part of 1 stock of its cross-held shares. Additionally, as the result of an examination at the Board of Directors meeting held on February 21, 2019 of the economic rationality and significance of holding individual cross-held stock for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (examination on cross-held shares as of the end of December 2018) in consideration of benefits and risks, capital cost and other factors associated with such holding, the Company plans to continue with the sale of some stocks.

[Principle 1-7: Related Party Transactions]
The Company stipulates that transactions with competitors held by a Director, transactions between the Company and a Director, and transactions involving any conflict of interests would require the approval of the Board of Directors.

[Principle 2-6: Roles of Corporate Pension Funds as Asset Owners]
Regarding the management of corporate pension funds of the Company, the Finance Department, which specializes in fund management, monitors management policy, method and status through an external management agency.

[Principle 3-1: Full Disclosure]
(i) Company objectives (e.g., business principles), management strategies and management plans

<Company objectives (e.g., business principles)>

Based on our history and moving towards the future, we at the Company develop our corporate activities by adhering to “Our Mission” that represents how the Group should act to meet the society’s expectations and make contribution thereto, and act in accordance with “Our Values” and “Our Commitment.”

[Our Mission]
We are committed to developing new fields of business using pioneering technology that improves the environment and enhances the quality of life throughout the world.
For people and the planet—to achieve what no one else can.

[Our Values]
Philosophy:
Respect for individuals,
Close cooperation to attain shared goals,
Constant creation of new value
Guiding Principles:
Safety is the cornerstone of everything we do
Customers’ needs are our top priority
We act on ideas in the workplace
[Our Commitment]

We will constantly develop and provide safe, high-quality products and services.
We will maintain a sound relationship with society through good communication.
We will strive to preserve and improve the global environment, and to secure safety and health in all our workplaces.
We will value all members of the Kuraray community and respect their rights.
We will always conduct businesses in a free, fair and transparent manner.
We will honor all intellectual property and secure data and information in a proper manner.

The Company formulated “The Kuraray Group Code of Conduct” as our guiding principles by breaking down “Our Commitment” and ensures that the officers and employees of the Group are fully aware of it, of which implementation status is checked as necessary.

<Management strategies and management plans>
The Company also formulated “PROUD 2020,” its mid term management plan that started in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. Details of the plan have been announced on the Company’s website. (URL: https://www.kuraray.com/ir/strategy/plan/)

(ii) Basic views on corporate governance
The Company believes that the maintenance of appropriate relationships with various stakeholders and the fulfillment of social responsibilities through establishing a corporate governance system that ensures effective and fair management would contribute to the long term and sustainable enhancement of corporate value.

(iii) Policies and procedures for determining the remuneration of Executives and Directors
1. Remunerations for Directors are determined by taking into consideration the remuneration level of other companies and other factors so that the remuneration will function as one of the incentives for mid to long term and sustainable enhancement of the corporate value.
2. Remunerations for Directors are comprised of monetary remuneration consisting of fixed remuneration by position and performance-linked remunerations, and stock option-based remunerations. However, monetary remuneration for Outside Directors does not include performance-linked remunerations.
3. Remuneration for each Director is determined based on the calculation method stipulated by the Board of Directors within the limit amount resolved at the General Meeting of Shareholders. The remuneration for the President which serves as the basis for calculating the remuneration for each Director is determined after the deliberation at the Management Advisory Committee comprised of Outside Officers and outside experts.
Changes in the remuneration system and structure as well as the amount of remuneration for Directors are also determined by the Board of Directors after the deliberation at the aforementioned Management Advisory Committee.

(iv) Policies and procedures for the election and dismissal of executives and for the appointment of the candidates for Directors and Corporate Auditors
1. The Company appoints individuals who have experience, knowledge and capabilities required for Directors of the Company as candidates at the Board of Directors meeting with the attendance of Outside Officers and elect them as Directors with the resolution of the General Meeting of Shareholders. However, the candidates for Outside Directors shall satisfy the criteria of independence provided separately.
2. The Company appoints individuals who have experience, knowledge and capabilities required for Corporate Auditors of the Company as candidates at the Board of Directors meeting with the presence of Outside Officers, and elect them as Corporate Auditors with the resolution of the General Meeting of Shareholders after obtaining the consent of the Board of Corporate Auditors. However, the candidates for Outside Corporate Auditors shall satisfy the criteria of independence provided separately.
The election and dismissal of Directors and the appointment and removal of Representative Directors and Directors with special titles are determined by the Board of Directors after deliberation at the aforementioned Management Advisory Committee.

(v) Explanations on the election and dismissal of executives and the appointment of each candidate for Director and Corporate Auditor

Regarding the reasons for nominating each candidate for Director and Corporate Auditor, please refer to the Notice of Convocation of the General Meeting of Shareholders.

[Supplementary Principle 4-1-1: Scope of the Matters Delegated to the Management]
The Company clearly defines the scope of the matters delegated to the management by specifying the matters to be judged and determined by the Board of Directors as matters to be discussed in the Board of Directors’ Regulations, regarding the matters relating to the basic policies of the management and other important matters, in addition to those required by the Companies Act and the Articles of Incorporation.

[Principle 4-9: Independence Standards for Outside Officers]
1. The Company judges that its Outside Officers and the candidates for the Outside Officers are fully independent from the Company if they do not fall under any of the following items:
   (1) A business executive of the Group
   (2) A counterparty which has transactions principally with the Group, or its business executive thereof
   (3) A major business partner of the Group, or its business executive thereof
   (4) A major lender of the Group, or its business executive thereof
   (5) A counterparty that receives a large amount of donations from the Group, or its business executive thereof
   (6) A major shareholder of the Company (who possesses 10% or more of the total voting right either directly or indirectly), or its business executive thereof
   (7) A business executive of the party whose major investor (who possesses 10% or more of the total voting right either directly or indirectly) is the Group
   (8) A consultant, certified public accountant or other accounting professional, attorney or other legal professional who receives a large amount of monetary or other assets from the Group other than the executive remunerations (in case of a legal entity, association or other organization, a person belonging thereto)
   (9) A person who belongs to an accounting firm that conducts the statutory audit of the Company
   (10) A person who has fallen under the above criteria (1) in the past ten years
   (11) A person who has fallen under any of the above criteria (2) through (9) in the past three years
   (12) A person whose position constitutes him/her as having an Outside Officer’s interlocking relationship with the Group
   (13) A relative of the persons listed in the above criteria (1) through (11)

2. Even in cases where a person falls under any of the above items, if the person is deemed to be appropriate for the post of an independent Outside Officer in light of his/her personality, knowledge and other qualities, the Company may appoint him/her as independent Outside Officer on the condition that the reasons why the person is deemed appropriate for the post are explained to the public.

[Supplementary Principle 4-1-1-1: Policies on the Structure and Size of the Board of Directors]
1. The Company’s Board of Directors shall be comprised of the Directors with diverse backgrounds in their knowledge, experience and expertise, and the number of Directors shall not exceed twelve as prescribed in the Articles of Incorporation in order to enable swift and bold decision making.

2. In the light of the importance of the Outside Directors’ functions in corporate governance, the Company stipulates that, in its Board of Directors, two or more independent Outside Directors shall be appointed to ensure transparency and fairness in its decision making.

When appointing candidates for Directors pursuant to the above policies, the Company takes into consideration diversity, including gender and internationality, as well.
[Supplementary Principle 4-11-2: Status of Concurrent Posts Held by Directors and Corporate Auditors]
The Company regularly confirms the status of concurrent posts held by all of its Directors and Corporate Auditors at the Board of Directors meetings and is notified of the changes in such status in advance if any. The status of concurrent posts is also stated in the business report.

[Supplementary Principle 4-11-3: Analysis and Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Board of Directors]
1. Analysis and Evaluation Method
The Company distributed "Questionnaire on Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Board of Directors" (non-anonymous) to all the Directors and Corporate Auditors in December 2018 and collected responses and opinions from all members in January 2019. The secretariat of the Board of Directors aggregated the responses, and analyzed and evaluated the effectiveness of the Board of Directors based on the data.

<Questionnaire > (32 questions in total)
- Concerning Structure of the Board of Directors
- Concerning Agenda of the Board of Directors
- Concerning Operations of the Board of Directors
- Systems Outside the Board of Directors

2. Outline of Analysis and Evaluation Results
- The evaluation confirmed that the Company’s Board of Directors is generally functioning properly and that the effectiveness of the Board of Directors is secured in all aspects such as its size, composition, diversity, agenda selection, the scope of matters to be discussed or reported, the timing for scheduling the Board of Directors meetings, frequency of the meetings, operations of the Board of Directors including deliberation time, provision of additional information to the Directors, systems outside the Board of Directors such as those for providing training opportunities, etc.
- In light of the results from this evaluation, the Company will continue to examine and implement necessary measures to make discussions more lively and productive at the Board of Directors meetings.

[Supplementary Principle 4-14-2: Training Policy for Directors and Corporate Auditors]
1. The Company continuously provides lectures and trainings by the internal specialist team or outside experts to its Directors and Corporate Auditors at the time when they assume their offices or when needed thereafter, regarding the Company’s management strategies, organization, financial status, legal compliance, corporate governance and other important matters.
2. The Company shall provide the summary of the business and organization of the Group to its Outside Officers at the time when they assume their offices or when needed thereafter, and create opportunities of the Company’s on-site tour, etc. as needed.

[Principle 5-1: Policy for Dialogue with Shareholders]
1. The Company engages in constructive dialogue with shareholders and investors with an aim to maintain its growth and enhance its corporate value and the common interests of its shareholders over the mid-term.
2. In order to promote dialogue with shareholders and investors, the Company prepares a framework based on the following policies:
   (1) President or an officer responsible for investor and public relations deal with the interviews with shareholders to the extent reasonable.
   (2) President and an officer responsible for investor and public relations oversee the dialogue with shareholders and the measures related thereto.
(3) An officer responsible for investor and public relations holds information exchange on a day-to-day basis among divisions responsible for corporate management planning, general affairs, finance, accounting and legal affairs to establish an organic coordination system.

(4) In addition to holding individual interviews with shareholders and investors, the Company works for a full provision of information through financial briefing sessions, explanatory meeting on mid term management plan and other means.

(5) President or an officer responsible for investor and public relations report to the Board of Directors about the opinions and concerns obtained from the dialogue with shareholders and investors as necessary.

(6) Insider information shall be strictly managed based on the internal regulations during the dialogue with shareholders and investors.

2. Capital Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name / Company Name</th>
<th>Number of Shares Owned (Shares)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Master Trust Bank of Japan, Ltd. (Trust account)</td>
<td>40,318,300</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account)</td>
<td>24,629,300</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Mutual Insurance Federation of Agricultural Cooperatives</td>
<td>10,882,700</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Life Insurance Company</td>
<td>10,448,963</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account 5)</td>
<td>6,479,900</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meiji Yasuda Life Insurance Company</td>
<td>5,969,412</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE STREET BANK WEST CLIENT - TREATY 505234</td>
<td>5,851,413</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Trustee Services Bank, Ltd. (Trust account 7)</td>
<td>5,776,200</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST COMPANY 505001</td>
<td>5,765,108</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTHERN TRUST CO. (AVFC) RE-SSD00</td>
<td>5,442,000</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controlling Shareholders (excluding Parent Company) —

Parent Company None

Supplementary Explanation

The information above is the status of major shareholders as of December 31, 2018. In addition to those stated in the Status of Major Shareholders, there are 6,179,578 shares of treasury stock (percentage: 1.74%) owned by the Company as of the same date.
3. Corporate Attributes

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listed Stock Market and Market Section</td>
<td>Tokyo Stock Exchange, First Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year-End</td>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Business</td>
<td>Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Employees (consolidated) as of the End of the Previous Fiscal Year</td>
<td>1,000 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales (consolidated) as of the End of the Previous Fiscal Year</td>
<td>¥100 billion to less than ¥1 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Consolidated Subsidiaries as of the End of the Previous Fiscal Year</td>
<td>50 to less than 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Policy on Measures to Protect Minority Shareholders in Conducting Transactions with Controlling Shareholders

—

5. Other Special Circumstances which may have Material Impact on Corporate Governance

—
1. Organizational Composition and Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Form</th>
<th>Company with Corporate Auditors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**[Directors]**

| Maximum Number of Directors Stipulated in Articles of Incorporation | 12 |
| Terms of Office Stipulated in Articles of Incorporation | 1 year |
| Chairperson of the Board | President |
| Number of Directors | 12 |
| Appointment of Outside Directors | Appointed |
| Number of Outside Directors | 3 |
| Number of Independent Directors | 3 |

### Outside Directors’ Relationship with the Company (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Relationship with the Company (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomokazu Hamaguchi</td>
<td>From another company</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun Hamano</td>
<td>From another company</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie Fujimoto</td>
<td>Attorney at law</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Categories for “Relationship with the Company”
  * “□” When the person currently falls or has recently fallen under the category
  * “△” When the person fell under the category in the past
  * “●” When a close relative of the person currently falls or has recently fallen under the category
  * “▲” When a close relative of the person fell under the category in the past

- a. Executive of the Company or its subsidiaries
- b. Non-executive director or executive of a parent company of the Company
- c. Executive of a fellow subsidiary company of the Company
- d. A party whose major client or supplier is the Company or an executive thereof
- e. Major client or supplier of the Company or an executive thereof
- f. Consultant, accountant or legal professional who receives a large amount of monetary consideration or other property from the Company besides remuneration as a director
- g. Major shareholder of the Company (or an executive of said major shareholder if the shareholder is a legal entity)
- h. Executive of a client or supplier company of the Company (which does not correspond to any of d, e, or f)
(the director himself/herself only)
i. Executive of a company, between which the Company’s Outside Directors/Corporate Auditors are mutually appointed (the director himself/herself only)
j. Executive of a company or organization that receives a donation from the Company (the director himself/herself only)
k. Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation as Independent Director</th>
<th>Supplementary Explanation of the Relationship</th>
<th>Reasons of Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomokazu Hamaguchi</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Mr. Tomokazu Hamaguchi has been appointed as Outside Director based on the judgment that his wealth of experience and broad insight gained as a management executive of NTT DATA Corporation would allow him to provide opinions and suggestions that would be useful for helping further improve the Company’s corporate governance. In addition, he has been designated as Independent Director based on the judgment that there would be no conflict of interest with ordinary shareholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun Hamano</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>Mr. Jun Hamano is concurrently serving as President of The Ohara Memorial Institute for Science of Labour. The Ohara Memorial Institute for Science of Labour was established by Mr. Magosaburo Ohara, the Company’s first president, in 1921 with the purpose of promoting sound business management, enhancing the welfare of the laborers and contributing to the improvement and development of social welfare. As a part of its CSR activities, the Company pays annual membership fee to support the</td>
<td>Mr. Jun Hamano has been appointed as Outside Director based on the judgment that his wealth of experience and broad insight gained through economic administration and other roles at the Economic Planning Agency of Japan and the Cabinet Office would allow him to provide objective opinions and suggestions that are useful for the Company’s management. In addition, he has been designated as Independent Director based on the judgment that there would be no conflict of interest with ordinary shareholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Designation as Independent Director</td>
<td>Supplementary Explanation of the Relationship</td>
<td>Reasons of Appointment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie Fujimoto</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>research activities of the Institute. The amount of annual payment of the said membership fee is less than 1 million yen.</td>
<td>Ms. Mie Fujimoto has been appointed as Outside Director because she has expertise and a wealth of experience as an attorney at law, and she has achievements in conducting appropriate audits as Outside Corporate Auditor of Kuraray Co., Ltd. In addition, she has been designated as Independent Director based on the judgment that there would be no conflict of interest with ordinary shareholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Discretionary Committee Corresponding to a Nomination Committee or Remuneration Committee: Yes

### Committee’s Name, Composition, and Attributes of Chairperson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee’s Name</th>
<th>All Committee Members</th>
<th>Full-time Members</th>
<th>Inside Directors</th>
<th>Outside Directors</th>
<th>Outside Experts</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Chairperson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committee Corresponding to Nomination Committee</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee Corresponding to Remuneration Committee</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplementary Explanation

The Company has established “Corporate Advisory Committee” comprised of Outside Officers and outside experts, as an advisory organ to the Board of Directors, with a view to enhancing transparency, fairness and objectively in decision making concerning important matters of management, including nomination and remuneration of Directors, and further strengthening its corporate governance.
The Corporate Advisory Committee was comprised of six members: three Outside Directors, one Outside Corporate Auditor and two outside experts, as of the submission date of this Report.

[Corporate Auditors]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Establishment of the Board of Corporate Auditors</th>
<th>Established</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Number of Corporate Auditors Stipulated in Articles of Incorporation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Corporate Auditors</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cooperation among Corporate Auditors, Accounting Auditors, and Internal Audit Department

The Corporate Auditors regularly have meetings with the Accounting Auditor receive reports on audit planning, implementation status and audit content. Also, the Corporate Auditors receive reports on internal audit results by the Internal Auditors Office (consisting of ten members). The Corporate Auditors also serve as corporate auditors of core subsidiaries to conduct subsidiary audits as needed and attend periodic Group Auditor Liaison Meetings consisting of the subsidiary auditors to deepen their understanding of each company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointment of Outside Corporate Auditors</th>
<th>Appointed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Outside Corporate Auditors</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Independent Corporate Auditors</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outside Corporate Auditors’ Relationship with the Company (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Relationship with the Company (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a   b   c   d   e   f   g   h   i   j   k   l   m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsuhiro Nagahama</td>
<td>From another company</td>
<td>△</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomomi Yatsu</td>
<td>Attorney at law</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenji Komatsu</td>
<td>From another company</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Categories for “Relationship with the Company”
* “○” When the person currently falls or has recently fallen under the category
  “△” When the person fell under the category in the past
* “●” When a close relative of the person currently falls or has recently fallen under the category
  “▲” When a close relative of the person fell under the category in the past
a. Executive of the Company or its subsidiary
b. Non-executive director or accounting adviser of the Company or its subsidiaries
c. Non-executive director or executive of a parent company of the Company
d. Corporate Auditor of a parent company of the Company
e. Executive of a fellow subsidiary company of the Company
f. A party whose major client or supplier is the Company or an executive thereof
g. Major client or supplier of the Company or an executive thereof
h. Consultant, accountant or legal professional who receives a large amount of monetary consideration or
other property from the Company besides remuneration as a Corporate Auditor
i. Major shareholder of the Company (or an executive of said major shareholder if the shareholder is a legal entity)
j. Executive of a client or supplier company of the Company (which does not correspond to any of f, g, or h) (the Corporate Auditor himself/herself only)
k. Executive of a company, between which the Company’s Outside Directors/Corporate Auditors are mutually appointed (the Corporate Auditor himself/herself only)
l. Executive of a company or organization that receives a donation from the Company (the Corporate Auditor himself/herself only)
m. Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation as Independent Auditor</th>
<th>Supplementary Explanation of the Relationship</th>
<th>Reasons of Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitsuhiro Nagahama</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>Mr. Mitsuhiro Nagahama has served as Chairman and in other positions of Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd. in the past. The sales of Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd. to the Company for the most recent fiscal year were less than 0.1% of Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd.’s net sales for the same period. Furthermore, Mr. Mitsuhiro Nagahama has served as Deputy President and in other positions of Mizuho Bank Ltd. (formerly: Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.) in the past. The balance of loans outstanding from Mizuho Bank, Ltd. to the Company for the most recent fiscal year was less than 1% of the Company’s consolidated total assets for the same period.</td>
<td>Mr. Mitsuhiro Nagahama has been appointed as Outside Corporate Auditor because he has a wealth of experience at financial institutions and broad insight, as well as track record at other companies as outside auditor. In addition, he has been designated as Independent Corporate Auditor based on the judgment that there would be no conflict of interest with ordinary shareholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomomi Yatsu</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>———</td>
<td>Ms. Tomomi Yatsu has been appointed as Outside Corporate Auditor because she has broad insight as a certified public accountant and attorney at law and a wealth of experience as outside officer of other companies. In addition, she has been designated as Independent Corporate Auditor based on the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Designation as Independent Auditor</td>
<td>Supplementary Explanation of the Relationship</td>
<td>Reasons of Appointment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenji Komatsu</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Kenji Komatsu has been appointed as Outside Corporate Auditor because he has track records in management at many other companies both domestically and internationally, and he has a wealth of experience and broad insight developed through his career. In addition, he has been designated as Independent Corporate Auditor based on the judgment that there would be no conflict of interest with ordinary shareholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Independent Directors/Corporate Auditors]

Number of Independent Directors/Corporate Auditors | 6

Matters relating to Independent Directors/Corporate Auditors

The Company has appointed all Outside Officers as Independent Directors/Corporate Auditors, insofar as they meet the qualifications thereof.

[Incentives]

Status of the Measures Regarding Incentives for Directors

Introduction of a Performance-linked Remuneration System, Introduction of a Remuneration-Type Stock Option Scheme

Supplementary Explanation

(1) Introduction of a performance-linked remuneration system: the Company abolished the prior bonus scheme to Directors and introduced a performance-linked remuneration system in July 2006, thereby strengthening the incentive for increasing the Company’s corporate value. In addition, to respond to the increase in the amount of performance-linked remuneration in conjunction with the improved business performance, it was resolved to increase the maximum amount of annual remunerations to Directors from ¥450 million to ¥800 million (including ¥100 million annually for Outside Directors) at the Company’s 131st Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders held on June 22, 2012.

(2) Calculation method of performance-linked remuneration: as a short term performance incentive, the performance-linked remuneration for the President shall be the amount that is obtained by multiplying the
amount of actual consolidated net income for the prior fiscal year with a predetermined coefficient. The performance-linked remuneration for Directors shall be determined by dividing the said amount in proportion to the index corresponding to each position of Directors. The Company does not have a performance-linked remuneration system for Outside Directors based on the above calculation method.

(3) Introduction of a remuneration-type stock option scheme: the Company abolished the prior severance and retirement benefits system for Directors in July 2006 and introduced a remuneration-type stock option scheme that entails the issue of share acquisition rights for the purpose of further boosting Directors’ sensitivity and motivation towards improving the Company’s performance. The stock option-based remunerations under this scheme shall not exceed ¥90 million, separately from the maximum amount of annual remunerations to Directors. The number of share acquisition rights to be granted shall not exceed 120 each year (the number of common stock to be issued upon exercise of share acquisition rights shall not exceed 60,000 shares each year).

| Recipients of Stock Options | Inside Directors, Outside Directors, employees, directors of subsidiaries, employees of subsidiaries and others |

Supplementary Explanation
Separately from the stock option scheme mentioned in preceding (3), the Company granted stock options in October 2002, October 2003 and October 2010 with the purpose of raising the sense of participating in the Group’s management and boosting the willingness and motivation towards improving the performance.

[Director’s Remuneration]

| Disclosure of Individual Director’s Remuneration | No Individual Disclosure |

Supplementary Explanation
Details of the disclosure status are as follows:

・Total amount of remuneration paid by classification, amount of monetary remuneration paid by type of remuneration, and the number of eligible Directors and Corporate Auditors, as well as the method for determining the remuneration, etc. for Directors and Corporate Auditors are disclosed on the securities report.

(Reference) Page 31 of the securities report dated March 27, 2019 for the 138th fiscal period (Status of corporate governance)
https://pdf.irpocket.com/C3405/szUe/mCxEE/W7go.pdf (Japanese Only)

・Total amounts of remuneration by classifying Inside Director and Outside Director, and number of Directors and Corporate Auditors paid are disclosed on the business report.

(Reference) Page 24 of Notice of Convocation of the 138th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders (Total Amounts of Remuneration, etc., Paid to Directors and Corporate Auditors)
https://pdf.irpocket.com/C3405/bFn0/l7Zv/r8ps.pdf

Policy on Determining Remuneration Amounts and Calculation Methods
Established

Disclosure of Policy on Determining Remuneration Amounts and Calculation Methods
Details of the policy on determining remuneration amounts paid to Directors and Corporate Auditors and the method for determination are as follows:
In respect to remunerations for Directors and Corporate Auditors, the Company has designed its standards and structure to be commensurate with the responsibilities of each Director and Corporate Auditor under the basic recognition and policy that such remuneration is a remuneration for achieving the long term and sustainable improvement in business performance and corporate value.

- **Type of remuneration paid to Directors and Corporate Auditors**
  Remuneration to Directors is comprised of monetary remunerations (fixed remuneration by position and performance-linked remuneration) and stock option-based remunerations.

- **Maximum amount of annual remunerations to Directors**
  Regarding the maximum amount of annual remunerations to Directors of the Company, the following was resolved at the 125th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders of the Company held on June 28, 2006 and the 131st Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders of the Company held on June 22, 2012.
  
  <1> Amount of annual remunerations to Directors: under ¥800 million resolved at the 131st Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders
  
  <2> Amount of stock option-based annual remunerations to Directors (aside from <1> above):
  
    under ¥90 million resolved at the 125th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders

- **Calculation method of remuneration, etc. paid to Directors and Corporate Auditors**
  The President, as authorized by the Board of Directors, determines the remuneration for each Director within the limit of the total amount above, based on a certain criteria defined by the Board of Directors. The calculation method of the remuneration by type is as following. The remuneration for the President which serves as the basis is determined after the deliberation at the Corporate Advisory Committee led by outside experts.

  Fixed remuneration by position: Fixed remuneration by position, in principle, is the amount obtained by multiplying the amount of fixed remuneration by position for the President which serves as the basis with the coefficients predetermined according to each position.

  Performance-linked remuneration: as a short term performance incentive, the performance-linked remuneration for the President shall be the amount that is obtained by multiplying the amount of actual consolidated net income for the prior fiscal year with a predetermined coefficient. The performance-linked remuneration for Directors shall be determined by dividing the said amount in proportion to the index corresponding to each position of Directors. The Company does not have a performance-linked remuneration system for Outside Directors based on the above calculation method.

  Stock option-based remunerations: As a mid to long term incentive, stock options with the exercise price of ¥1 per share are granted (the exercising period is limited to a certain predetermined period after retirement). The number of stock options to be granted is determined based on the base amount defined by the positions.

(Reference) Page 31 of the securities report dated March 27, 2019 for the 138th fiscal period (Status of corporate governance)

[System for Supporting Outside Officers]

The information is shared among Outside Officers through distributing and explaining in advance the proposals to be deliberated at the regular and extraordinary meetings of the Board of Directors. Two members of Secretariat Group, General Affairs Department assist in the sharing of this information to the Outside Directors. The Company appoints staff for Corporate Auditor to assist Corporate Auditors including Outside Corporate Auditors. The staff collects and provides information necessary for auditing activities and offers other forms of support.
### Status of Retired Representative Directors and Presidents, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Form and Conditions of Work (Full-time/Part-time; Compensation, etc.)</th>
<th>Date of Retirement as President, etc.</th>
<th>Term of Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yasuaki Wakui</td>
<td>Primary Adviser</td>
<td>Chairman, etc. of affiliates, etc. with an emphasis on social contribution; social contribution activities, etc. (not involved in management)</td>
<td>Form of work: Full-time Compensation: Yes</td>
<td>April 1, 2008</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiroto Matsuo</td>
<td>Senior Adviser</td>
<td>Activities of economic bodies, etc. (not involved in management)</td>
<td>Form of work: Part-time Compensation: Yes</td>
<td>June 29, 2000</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of Advisers, etc. formerly served as Representative Directors and Presidents, etc.: 2

Other matters

Mr. Yasuaki Wakui serves as a chairman or a member of the board at affiliates with an emphasis on social contribution such as hospitals and an art museum, etc., and provides them with management guidance.

2. Matters on Functions of Business Execution, Auditing, Oversight, and Decision-Making of Nomination and Remuneration (Overview of Current Corporate Governance System)

   (1) Board of Directors and business execution body

   The Board of Directors (convenes at least once a month), according to the Board of Directors’ Regulations, deliberates and decides important management matters, including legal matters, and supervises the execution of business. The maximum number of Directors is 12 in order to promote agile management decision-making by the Board of Directors, and the term of office is one year to clarify their responsibilities to the shareholders. There are currently 12 incumbent Directors including three Outside Directors with wealth of experience in and broad insight into economy, finance, management and corporate legal affairs. These two Outside Directors are supervising the management from an independent third-party standpoint.

   No personal, capital, transactional or other relationship that would present a conflict of interest exists between the Company and the Outside Directors.
Mr. Tomokazu Hamaguchi is supervising the management as Outside Director and is providing opinions and suggestions that are useful for helping further improve the Company’s corporate governance based on a wealth of experience and broad insight gained as a management executive of NTT DATA Corporation.

Mr. Jun Hamano supervises the management from an objective standpoint as Outside Director and is providing opinions and suggestions based on his wealth of experience and broad insight gained through economic administration and other roles at the Cabinet Office, etc.

Ms. Mie Fujimoto has been appointed as Outside Director because she has expertise and a wealth of experience as an attorney at law, and has achievements in conducting appropriate audits as Outside Corporate Auditor of Kuraray Co., Ltd.

Pursuant to Article 427, Paragraph 1 of the Companies Act, the Company has entered into contracts with Outside Directors which limit their liability for damages under Article 423, Paragraph 1 of the same Act. The maximum liability amount under such contracts shall be the amount stipulated in laws and regulations. However, the aforementioned liability limitation shall be applied only in cases where such Outside Directors executed their duties in good faith and without gross negligence. As the chief executive responsible for business execution, the President appointed by the Board of Directors exercises control over the execution of business in the Group. Every executive officer (one-year term of office) appointed by the Board of Directors is responsible for business execution in the Group organization. As the heads of internal companies, divisions and major functional organizations, the executive officers bear responsibilities for operations and business results. In this way, the Company clearly separates the responsibilities of Directors, that is, decision-making and supervision on the management, from the responsibilities of business execution. Some Directors hold concurrent positions as executive officers. The President has established the Executive Committee (in principle, convenes twice a month) and various other councils and committees to deliberate and report on important matters concerning the Group’s management policies and business execution.

(2) Board of Corporate Auditors and Internal Audit

The Board of Corporate Auditors consists of five Corporate Auditors, of which four are males and one is female, and three of them are independent Outside Corporate Auditors, the majority thereof. The current number of Corporate Auditors is five, including three Outside Corporate Auditors with extensive experience in and broad insight into areas such as finance, law and management, who perform their duties from an independent third-party standpoint.

No personal, capital, transactional or other relationship that would present a conflict of interest exists between the Company and the Outside Corporate Auditors.

- Mr. Mitsuhiro Nagahama has a wealth of experience at financial institutions and broad insight, and conducts audit as Outside Corporate Auditor based on his track record as outside auditor of other companies.
- Ms. Tomomi Yatsu is appointed as Outside Corporate Auditor because she has broad insight as a certified public accountant and attorney at law and a wealth of experience as outside officer of other companies.
- Mr. Kenji Komatsu is appointed as Outside Corporate Auditor because he has track records in management at many other companies both domestically and internationally, and a wealth of experience and broad insight developed through his career.

Corporate Auditors attend meetings of the Board of Directors and other important meetings, and monitor the Directors’ execution of duties through inquiries conducted by such means as the examination of important documents and requests for explanations of the state of business affairs. In principle, the Board of Corporate Auditors convenes monthly.

The Corporate Auditors regularly have meetings with the Accounting Auditor receive reports on audit planning, implementation status and audit content. Also, they receive reports on internal audit results by the
Internal Auditors Office (consisting of ten members). The Corporate Auditors also serve as corporate auditors of core subsidiaries to conduct subsidiary audits as needed and attend periodic Group Auditor Liaison Meetings consisting of the subsidiary auditors to deepen their understanding of each company.

Pursuant to Article 427, Paragraph 1 of the Companies Act, the Company has entered into contracts with Corporate Auditors which limit their liability for damages under Article 423, Paragraph 1 of the same Act. The maximum liability amount under such contracts shall be the amount stipulated in laws and regulations. However, the aforementioned liability limitation shall be applied only in cases where such Corporate Auditors executed their duties in good faith and without gross negligence.

In addition, staff for corporate auditors are appointed to assist the duties of Corporate Auditors.

(3) Status of Accounting Auditor

No special interests exist between the Company and the Accounting Auditor, PricewaterhouseCoopers Aarata LLC, or the engagement partners of the auditing firm who audit the Company. In addition, the auditing firm voluntarily takes steps to ensure the engagement partners are not involved in audits of the Company for longer than the prescribed period of time. The names of the certified public accountants who engaged in the duties of accounting auditor and the composition of assistants of audit engagement during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 were as follows:

Names of the certified public accountants who engaged in the duties of accounting auditor:
Designated limited liability partners: Masahiko Hagimori (continuous audit years: 1 year) / Takeshi Shioya (continuous audit years: 6 years)

Composition of assistants of audit engagement:
Seven certified public accountants; four employees who have passed the qualification exam for accountants; and seventeen other staff

3. Reasons for Adoption of Current Corporate Governance System

The Company has adopted the structure of “a company with the board of corporate auditors,” whereby the fairness and transparency in the management is deemed to be secured, by having Outside Corporate Auditors who offer a wealth of management experience and professional knowledge added to the existing structure where Outside Directors are elected to provide valuable opinions and suggestions to the management also from an objective perspective.
### 1. Measures to Vitalize the General Meeting of Shareholders and Smooth Exercise of Voting Rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures to Vitalize the General Meeting of Shareholders and Smooth Exercise of Voting Rights</th>
<th>Supplementary Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Notification of General Shareholder Meeting</td>
<td>We dispatch the notification of General Shareholder Meeting, in principle, three weeks prior to the meeting. The convening notice for the 138th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders (held on March 27) was dispatched on March 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling of General Meeting of Shareholders Avoiding the Peak Day</td>
<td>We hold the General Meeting of Shareholders in March to avoid the peak season of other companies’ shareholders meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Exercise of Voting Rights</td>
<td>The exercise of voting rights via electromagnetic means has been introduced from the 126th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders held on June 20, 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in Electronic Voting Platform</td>
<td>An electronic voting rights exercise platform for institutional investors operated by ICJ, Inc. (Investors Communication Japan) can be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of the Convocation Notice in English</td>
<td>The convening notice of the General Meeting of Shareholders is prepared in English and posted on the Company’s website and the electronic voting rights exercise platform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Video of the General Meeting of Shareholders is available on the Company’s website on the following day of the meeting. From the 135th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders held on March 29, 2016, the convening notice for the General Meeting of Shareholders was posted on the Company’s website prior to dispatching by postal mail.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. IR Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IR Activities</th>
<th>Supplementary Explanation</th>
<th>Presentation by Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation and Publication of Disclosure Policy</td>
<td>Disclosure policies were prepared and announced in 2007. It is currently disclosed on the Company’s website: <a href="https://www.kuraray.com/disclosure">https://www.kuraray.com/disclosure</a></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Investor Briefings for Individual Investors</td>
<td>Investor briefings for individual investors are regularly held.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Investor Briefings for Analysts and Institutional Investors</td>
<td>The Company holds meetings for analysts and institutional investors, including conference calls, four times a year. Audio and video archives of such meetings are available (English version also available) on the Company’s website on the same or next day to eliminate the information gap between the analysts and institutional investors who can attend the briefings and those including individual investors who cannot due to</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3. Measures to Ensure Due Respect for Stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplementary Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stipulation of Internal Rules for Respecting the Position of Stakeholders</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rules for respecting the position of stakeholders are set forth in “Our Commitment” and “The Kuraray Group Code of Conduct” and have been announced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation of Environmental Activities and CSR Activities, etc.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 2003, Kuraray instituted the CSR Committee and bolstered its CSR promotion structure on a Group level. So far there were three specialist committees under the CSR Committee (the Social Responsibility and Economic Subcommittee, Environmental and Industrial Safety Subcommittee, and Risk Management and Compliance Subcommittee). These committees examined Company-wide policies and goals, and propose these policies to the management. In May 2017, as an effort to further strengthen risk management and compliance and enhance internal control, the Risk Management and Compliance Subcommittee has been separated from the CSR Committee, and made into an independent committee that reports matters at the Board of Directors meetings. At the same time, the two subcommittees under the CSR Committee have been abolished, and the mobility of the working team underneath it will be enhanced to increase the proposal capability to the management. Through this reorganization, we aim to enhance the effectiveness of the CSR promotion structure. For the specific activities regarding CSR, the theme-specific working groups making up the two subordinate committees collaborate with various organizations of the Group in addressing their respective themes. The</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Supplementary Explanation

Results of these CSR activities are disclosed in the Kuraray Report (an integrated report) and on the Company’s website on an annual basis.  
[https://www.kuraray.com/csr](https://www.kuraray.com/csr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development of Policies on Information Provision to Stakeholders</th>
<th>The policies on information provision to stakeholders are set forth in the Disclosure Policy of the Kuraray Group and the Company Disclosure Guidelines.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Other | Policies on and measures for the active participation by female employees:  
By formulating the Kuraray Group Global Human Resources (HR) Policy, the Company is promoting the employment of not only females but also foreigners, persons with disabilities and seniors under the policies to ensure non-discrimination on any ground such as gender, race and nationality, and to respect diversity and individuality (Transferring contract workers to full-time permanent employees is also actively underway.)  
In particular, for female employees, we have been preparing the systems to help them continue working with our own monetary support system during their leave. We also established a scheme through which the employees are given temporary leave, allowing them to accompany their spouse when their spouse is assigned to overseas posts, and re-employment system for retirees who left due to family reasons, both of which are achieving actual results.  
With regard to the Act on Promotion of Women’s Participation and Advancement in the Workplace which went into full effect on April 1, 2016, we formulated and announced the business owner action plan with the purpose of raising the ratio of female employees in the managerial positions by April 2020 to more than double that of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The Company is promoting related actions including the measure for reforming working style so that the female staff will be able to develop careers inclusive of promotion, advancement, and broader range of positions, while balancing work with childcare and other household chores. Under the workplace management with the focus on work-life balance, the total annual average working hours of the employees remains around 1,800 hours, while the rate of taking yearly paid vacations is moving around 85%. |
1. Basic Views on the Internal Control System and the Progress of System Development
   (Basic Views on the Internal Control)
   Recognizing that developing and operating the internal control system is an important management issue, the
   Group has determined at its Board of Directors the Basic Policy for Establishment of an Internal Control
   System as following:

   1. Systems to ensure compliance of execution of duties of Directors and employees with laws, regulations
      and the Articles of Incorporation
      (1) The Board of Directors, based on the Articles of Incorporation, Regulations of Board of Directors,
      and other internal regulations, will make decisions concerning important management items of the
      Group, in addition to supervising the execution of duties by Directors and Executive Officers. To
      strengthen the supervisory functions of the Board of Directors, two or more Outside Directors will
      be elected.
      (2) The Company has established “Corporate Advisory Committee” comprised of Outside Officers
      and outside experts, as an advisory organ to the Board of Directors, with a view to enhancing
      transparency, fairness and objectivity in decision making concerning important matters of
      management, including nomination and remuneration of Directors.
      (3) To promote corporate activities from the standpoint of CSR, a CSR Committee will be established,
      which reports to the Executive Committee.
      (4) Policies regarding compliance with laws and regulations will be defined as The Kuraray Group
      Code of Conduct. In order to conduct systematic development and operation of a compliance
      structure as the Group, a Risk Management and Compliance Sub Committee will be established,
      which reports directly to the President.
      (5) As an internal reporting point for discovering unfair and illegal actions and unethical actions
      within the Group at an early stage and aiming for independent resolution, a Group Employee
      Counseling Room and the Global Compliance Hotline will be established. In addition, a
      Corporate Auditor Hotline will be established as an internal reporting point independent of the
      management.
      (6) As a prevention measure against the violation of the antitrust laws, regular education and training
      will be held for executives and employees from each Group company, raising awareness of the
      internal guidelines regarding the antitrust laws and conducting regular monitoring of the
      compliance status.
      (7) The Internal Auditors Office, in compliance with the Internal Audit Regulations, will audit the
      conditions of business execution within the Group.
      (8) Based on the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act, an internal control system will be
      established to ensure propriety of financial reporting, and will be operated appropriately.
      (9) The Kuraray Group Code of Conduct will prescribe that the Group will deal with Anti-social
      forces and groups in a firm manner, and will not have any association with such forces and groups,
      thoroughly disseminating the rules throughout the Group.

   2. Systems concerning storage and management of information regarding execution of duties of Directors
   Records regarding execution of duties of Directors such as approval documents, request documents, etc.,
   and minutes and materials of General Meetings of Shareholders, Meetings of Board of Directors, and other
main meetings will be stored and managed appropriately in accordance with laws and regulations and internal regulations.

3. Regulations and other systems regarding management of risk of loss
   (1) Based on the Group Risk Management Regulations, systematic risk management will be conducted throughout the Group.
   (2) Based on the Company Emergency Headquarters Operational Regulations, in the event of a significant crisis regarding the business activities of the Group, the Company Emergency Headquarters will be established headed by the President to deal with the issue.
   (3) Assuming a significant crisis such as a large-scale natural disaster, etc., a business continuity plan (BCP) will be defined on a per-division basis to minimize any interruption of business, and the BCP will be reviewed regularly.

4. Systems to ensure efficient execution of duties by Directors
   (1) For decisions made by the President or requests of approvals by the Board of Directors regarding significant management items of the Group, preemptive deliberations will be held by the Executive Committee and various committees, aiming for swift management decision-making and efficient business execution.
   (2) Authority to operate businesses as managers of Companies, Divisions, and key organizations will be delegated to Executive Officers, etc., appointed by the Board of Directors, and execution of business at each organization will be made in an appropriate and efficient manner.

5. Systems to ensure the propriety of business operations at the corporate group, composed of the Company and subsidiaries
   (1) Business operation of each Group company will be conducted according to the management policies of the Group as a whole, as defined in the mid term management plan and annual management plan. Based on the Domestic Affiliated Companies Management Standards and the Overseas Affiliated Companies Management Standards, each Group company will seek approval and report to the Board of Directors or Executive Committee of the Company concerning important items.
   (2) Decision-making standards will be defined for each Group company within the Domestic Affiliated Companies Management Standards and the Overseas Affiliated Companies Management Standards, and will be managed appropriately and efficiently. Additionally, to promote unified operations through aiming for communication of views within the Group, a liaison meeting will be held as required between the President of the Company and the Presidents of each Group company.
   (3) Based on The Kuraray Group Code of Conduct, the system will be put in place to enable appropriate execution of duties by Directors and employees of each Group company. In addition, officers will be seconded from the Company to each Group company, to supervise business execution by Directors and employees of each company, and the Internal Auditors Office will conduct internal audits in accordance with the Internal Audit Regulations.

6. Independence from Directors of employees assisting the duties of Corporate Auditors and items regarding ensuring the effectiveness of instructions given to these employees
   Staff for Corporate Auditor will be assigned to assist the duties of Corporate Auditors. Staff for Corporate Auditor will receive direction and orders from Corporate Auditors, and concerning personnel affairs and salary of Staff for Corporate Auditor, decisions will be made after deliberations between the Director supervising personnel affairs and the Corporate Auditor.

7. Systems regarding reporting to Corporate Auditors of the Company and systems to ensure persons who
make reports do not receive detrimental treatment as a result of making a report

(1) Corporate Auditors will attend Meetings of Board of Directors and receive reports concerning conditions of business execution at the Company and each Group company through liaison meetings, etc., with Presidents of significant subsidiaries.

(2) The Internal Auditors Office will periodically report to the Board of Corporate Auditors concerning conditions of internal audits at the Company and each Group company.

(3) Upon discovering important issues regarding significant violations of laws and regulations or other compliance issues, Directors and officers of the Company and each Group company will swiftly report to Corporate Auditors. Corporate Auditors may request reports regarding these items as required from employees of the Company and the Group.

(4) Employees of the Company and each Group company can notify Corporate Auditors of these matters using the Corporate Auditor Hotline.

(5) Regarding persons who make the above reports and notifications, internal regulations will stipulate that persons who make reports do not receive detrimental treatment on the grounds of making the report.

8. Items regarding prepayment of expenses, procedures for reimbursement, and policies regarding processing of other expenses and liabilities arising from execution of duties by Corporate Auditors

When Corporate Auditors request prepayment or reimbursement, etc., for expenses arising during the course of execution of duties, unless the contents are especially unreasonable, payment will be processed without delay.

9. Other systems to ensure that audits by Corporate Auditors are made effectively

In order to exchange opinions regarding challenges facing the Company, important audit issues, etc., Corporate Auditors will periodically meet with the Representative Directors, and implement interviews with Directors, Executive Officers, and important employees.

(Progress of the System Development for Internal Control and Risk Management in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018)

1. Initiatives relating to compliance

(1) To work toward improving compliance awareness, workshop-style seminars were implemented for Senior Managers at domestic Group companies, and each Senior Manager subsequently implemented training for employees in their respective divisions. At overseas Group companies, training tailored to each region was implemented primarily through the Regional Compliance Committee.

(2) To further strengthen compliance with antitrust laws, the Company continues to monitor transactions, meetings, etc. with competitors through the operation of an antimonopoly law compliance system introduced in 2017, while implementing periodic audits of divisions that participate in bidding. At overseas Group companies as well, the Company distributed compliance manuals and conducted interviews and training based on surveys completed in advance.

(3) The Internal Auditors Office implemented audits for the Kuraray Group in accordance with the Internal Audit Regulations, and the results were reported to the President and the Board of Corporate Auditors. In addition, follow-up measures were implemented for matters to be improved in past audits.

2. Initiatives relating to risk management

(1) Self-assessment of risks was implemented at each domestic and overseas organization based on the Group Risk Management Regulations. Following discussions with the Risk Management and Compliance Sub Committee, the President specified significant business risks and appointed...
supervisors in charge of each risk in order to implement measures to avoid and reduce such risks.

(2) While improving facilities at the Disaster Countermeasures Office, emergency drills were conducted assuming that offices and plants were damaged by fires, and emergency response systems were reviewed.

(3) Based on the Group Risk Management Regulations, the business continuity plan (BCP) was updated and reviewed.

3. Initiatives relating to internal control of the corporate group

(1) The Management Advisory Committee was abolished as an advisory body to the President, and the “Corporate Advisory Committee,” comprised of outside officers and outside experts, was established as an advisory body to the Board of Directors.

(2) Each company of the Kuraray Group conducts business operations according to the management policies of the Kuraray Group as a whole, as defined in the medium-term management plan and annual management plan. In addition, based on the Domestic Affiliated Companies Management Standards and the Overseas Affiliated Companies Management Standards, each Kuraray Group company seeks approval and reports to the Board of Directors or Executive Committee of the Company as appropriate concerning important items.

4. Initiatives relating to audit systems of Corporate Auditors

Corporate Auditors meet with Representative Directors to exchange opinions regarding challenges the Company faces, important audit issues, etc., while implementing interviews with each Executive Director, Executive Officer, and other important employees.

2. Basic Views on Eliminating Anti-Social Forces and the Progress of System Development

The Group declares in Corporate statement, “Our Commitment” how the Group fulfills its accountability and responds to the expectation from the society in regard with the diverse connections to the society. In the Kuraray Group Code of Conduct, which embodies “Our Commitment,” we have pledged that we take a resolute stance against, and will not associate with, anti-social groups or organizations. In order to ensure that its Directors and employees comply with this code of conduct, the Company strives to familiarize them with this code through creating and distributing Kuraray Group Compliance Handbook, which offers explanations of the Kuraray Group Code of Conduct, and holding training on corporate ethics. In addition, the Company separately stipulates the prohibition of illegal profit offering and handling of donations, etc. and is working on educational activities on a Group-wide basis to maintain a sound relationship with the society. In case of any unreasonable demands, etc. made by anti-social forces, the Company has a system in place whereby General Affairs Department of the Head Office, the responsible division, collects and collates information, and Manager of General Affairs Department deals with the case as a person in charge of preventing unreasonable demands through coordinating with the relevant external authorities including the police and attorneys.

V. Other

1. Adoption of Anti-Takeover Measures

| Adoption of Anti-Takeover Measures | Not adopted |
2. Other Matters concerning Corporate Governance System

1. Basic Stance toward Disclosure

With our mission of “We are committed to developing new fields of business using pioneering technology that improves the environment and enhances the quality of life throughout the world. For people and the planet – to achieve what no one else can,” the Group is striving to enhance its corporate value. Under this mission, our basic stance toward disclosure is to fulfill our accountability to the society through timely and sufficient disclosure of information.

Our basic policy on disclosure is to strictly control the corporate confidential information while at the same time actively disclosing information as a corporate group that is open to society through implementing the principles of “We will maintain a sound relationship with society through good communication” and “We will honor all intellectual property and secure data and information in a proper manner” both stipulated in our commitment (comprised of six principles).

In accordance with the Disclosure Policy of the Kuraray Group which is a written outline of such basic stance and the Company Disclosure Guidelines specifying the principles and practice of disclosure, the Company has established the internal system as following in order to accurately execute the disclosure of “corporate information required for disclosure” (hereinafter, “important corporate information”) as set forth in the rules of the Tokyo Stock Exchange for timely disclosure.

2. The Timely Disclosure System of the Company’s Information

   (1) Organization in charge of disclosure

Corporate Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office takes charge as the division responsible for the disclosure of important corporate information of the Group.

   (2) Collection and control of information

Important information of the Group (determining events, occurring events, or information related to financial results) is reported from each business execution organization to the top management and its staff, that is, the General Manager of Corporate Management Planning Office. Matters requiring the judgement and determination of the top management are presented for discussion at the Executive Committee.

Corporate Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office responsible for disclosure obtains the important information in a timely manner via managers in charge of disclosure that are placed in each business execution organization, Corporate Management Planning Department of the Corporate Management Planning Office, administrative organization of the Head Office and other divisions.

In the event of accidents, disasters and other emergencies, Corporate Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office obtains the information in a timely manner via the communication network provided in the “Corporate Emergency Headquarters Operating Specifications” or through the duties at the Emergency Headquarters.

   (3) Judgement and Determination regarding Disclosure

Matters relevant to the important corporate information are disclosed in a timely manner by Corporate Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office. Matters that are uncertain whether they are relevant to the important corporate information are subject to the judgment by Manager of Corporate
Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office after discussion by the Corporate Communications Department, the Corporate Management Planning Office and Legal Department, CSR Division.

Even when information is not deemed to be relevant to the important company information, if such matters are required to be disclosed in fulfilling the Group’s accountability to the society, President makes decision after the discussion at Executive Committee. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of emergencies, President or an officer authorized by the President shall make decision regarding disclosure after the discussion with Manager of Corporate Communications Department, Corporate Management Planning Office.